

# Normal group algebras and oriented group involutions

Alexander Holguín Villa 1

University of São Paulo - USP, Brazil Supported by CAPES - Brazil aholguin@ime.usp.br



**IME - Instituto de** Matemática e Estatística

Introduction

Let  $RG$  denote the group algebra of the group  $G$  over a commuta-

 $\alpha^* = - \alpha \}$  the set of symmetric and skew-symmetric elements of A under ∗, respectively. A question of general interest is to determine the extent to which the properties of  $A^+$  or  $A^-$  determine the properties of the whole algebra  $A$ . One of the most famous and lovely results in this direction is the following theorem due to Amitsur (see [Her76, Theorem 6.5.2]):

**Theorem 1.** Let R be a commutative ring with identity and A an R-algebra with involution  $*$ . If  $A$  satisfies a  $*$ -polynomial identity, then A satisfies a polynomial identity. In particular, if  $A^+$  or  $A^-$  is PI, then A is PI.

Of course, the polynomial identity which is satisfied by the  $R$ algebra  $A$  is not necessarily the same as the one which is satisfied by the symmetric (skew-symmetric) elements.

Notice that normal group algebras  $RG$  are PI group algebras satisfying the special †-identity  $\alpha\alpha^\dagger=\alpha^\dagger\alpha$ . Group algebras satisfying a PI were classified by Passman and Isaacs-Passman, (see [P77, Corollaries 3.8 and 3.10]).

Let  $\zeta(G) = \zeta$  denote the center of the group  $G$  and recall that  $G$ is called LC-group if it is nonabelian and for every pair of elements  $g, h \in G$ , we have that  $gh = hg$  if and only if either  $g \in \zeta$ , or  $h \in \zeta$ , or  $gh \in \zeta$ . A group is SLC if it is LC and has a unique nontrivial commutator.

Using unpublished results of Felzenszwab, Giambruno, Leal and Polcino, under group involution, we characterize group algebras  $RG$ which are normal in regard to an oriented group involution. The results depend on whether  $N$  is either abelian or an SLC-group.

**Preliminaries** 

Lemma 3. If RG is a normal group algebra, then

 $N^+ = N \cap G^+ \subseteq \zeta(G).$ 

In particular, for all  $g \in G$ ,  $gg^* = g^*g$ .

a unique nonidentity commutator  $s$  and the involution  $*$  is defined by

**Theorem 3.** Let  $g \mapsto g^*$  denote an involution on a group  $G$  and let  $\sigma\,:\,G\,\rightarrow\,\{\pm 1\}$  be a nontrivial homomorphism with  $N = ker(\sigma)$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}G$  denote the group algebra of the group  $G$ over a commutative ring  $\mathbb F$  with unity. Then,  $\mathbb F G$  is normal if and

tive ring  $R$  with unity. The group ring  $RG$  has a natural involution given by  $\alpha\,=\,\Sigma \alpha_g g \,\mapsto\,\alpha^*\,=\,\Sigma \alpha_g g^{-1}.$  This involution, known as the classical involution, appears as a technical tool to obtain results on units in a paper of G. Higman [Hig40]. In particular, it is used there for prove that if  $G$  is a finite abelian group, then  $\mathbb{Z}G$ has non-trivial units unless either the orders of the elements of  $G$ divide four, or six, in which case  $\mathbb{Z}G$  has only trivial units.

Given both a nontrivial homomorphism  $\sigma: G \to \{\pm 1\}$  (called an orientation) and an involution  $* : G \rightarrow G$  extended linearly to the group algebra  $RG$ , an oriented involution of  $RG$  is defined by

> $\alpha = \sum$  $g{\in}G$  $\alpha_g g \mapsto \alpha^\dagger = \sum$  $g{\in}G$  $\alpha_g \sigma(g) g^*$ .

Notice that, as  $\sigma$  is nontrivial,  $char(R)$  must be different from  $2.$  It is clear that,  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{\dagger}$  is an involution of  $RG$  if and only if  $gg^* \in N = ker(\sigma) = \{g \in G : \sigma(g) = 1\}$  for all  $g \in G$ . RG is said to be *normal* if and only if  $\alpha\alpha^{\dagger}=\alpha^{\dagger}\alpha$ , for all  $\alpha\in RG.$ 

In the case that the involution on  $G$  is the classical involution,  $g\mapsto g^{-1}$ , the map  $\dagger$  is precisely the oriented involution introduced by S. P. Novikov (1970) in the context of  $K$ -theory (see [CP11]). Let now  $R\left\{x_1, x_1^*, ..., x_n, x_n^*, ...\right\}$  be the free associative algebra with involution and A an R-algebra. Then  $0 \neq$  $f(x_1, x_1^*, ..., x_n, x_n^*)$   $\in R\left\{x_1, x_1^*, ..., x_n, x_n^*, ...\right\}$  is called a  $*$ polynomial identity for A if  $f(a_1, a_1^*,..., a_n, a_n^*)$  = 0 for all  ${a_i}_{i=1}^n \subseteq A$ . Equivalently, the R-algebra A is called a PIalgebra. For instance, any commutative algebra would satisfy  $x_1x_2-x_2x_1=0.$  The expression  $\left[x_1,x_2\right] = \left. x_1x_2 - x_2x_1 \right.$  is called the commutator of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ .

We denote  $A^+=\{\alpha\,\in\, A\,:\,\alpha^*=\,\alpha\}$  and  $A^-=\{\alpha\,\in\, A\,:\,\alpha^*=\,\alpha\}$ 

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that  $RG$  is normal and let  $g \in G$ . Then one of the following conditions holds:

(i) If either  $\sigma(g) = \sigma(h) = 1$  or if  $\sigma(g) = -1$  and  $\sigma(h) = 1$ , then  $g^2 \hat h = h g^2.$ 

(ii) If either  $\sigma(g) = 1$  and  $\sigma(h) = -1$  or sif  $\sigma(g) = \sigma(h) = -1$ , then  $g^2h=(g^2h)^*$ .

In particular, for  $n,m\in N$ ,  $(n^2,m)=1.$ 

**Lemma 3.** Let  $g, h \in G$  such that  $(g, h) \neq 1$  and RG a normal group algebra. Then one of the following conditions holds:  ${\sf (i)}\,\,\sigma(g)=\sigma(h)=1,\,g^*=(g,h)g,\,h^*=(g,h)h,\,\gamma_2(\langle g,h\rangle)$  has order 2;

(ii)  $\sigma(g) = -1$  and  $\sigma(h) = 1$ ,  $g^* = g$ ,  $h^* = (g, h)h$ ,  $(g^2, h) = 1$ ,  $(gh)^2 = (hg)^2$ ,  $\gamma_2(\langle g, h \rangle)$  has order 2; (iii)  $\sigma(g) = 1$  and  $\sigma(h) = -1$ ,  $g^* = (g, h)g$ ,  $h^* = h$ ,  $(h^2, g) = 1$ ,  $(gh)^2 = (hg)^2;$ (iv)  $\sigma(g) = \sigma(h) = -1$ ,  $g^* = g$ ,  $h^* = h$ ,  $(g^2, h) = 1$ ,  $(h^2, g) = 1$ ,  $(gh)^2 = (hg)^2$ .

In [Her76] Herstein studied a special class of rings with involution, called semi-normal rings.

**Definition 1.** A ring R with involution  $*$  is said to be semi-normal if  $rr^* = 0$  implies  $r^*r = 0$ , for all  $r \in R$ .

Clearly normal rings are semi-normal. The involution  $*$  of the ring R is called **positive definite** if  $r = 0$  implies  $rr^* = 0$ .

Other celebrated theorem due to Amitsur [Her76, Theorem 6.5.1] and that extends the Theorem 1, establishes a relationship between ∗-polynomial identities and the identities which does not include variables with  $*$ , satisfied for a ring  $R$ . More exactly we have:

 $t(r_1r_2) = n(r_1 + r_2^*)$  $n_2^*$ ) –  $n(r_1) - n(r_2^*)$  $_{2}^{*}$  $= n(r_1^* + r_2) - n(r_1^*)$  $1^*$ ) –  $n(r_2)$  $= t(r_2r_1).$ 

If  $R$  is normal ring, by the last theorem,  $R$  satisfies  $St_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^m$  an if  $R$  is semi-prime then  $R$  satisfies  $St_4(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)$ , so is imbeddable in  $2\times 2$  matrices over a commutative ring.

This result, with  $rr^*=r^*r$  for all  $r\in R$ , can be obtained also by completely elementary arguments.

In the following result we establish necessary and sufficient conditions on G and  $N = ker(\sigma)$  under which the group algebra  $\mathbb{F}G$  is normal, i.e., such that the  $\dagger$ -identity  $\alpha \alpha^\dagger = \alpha^\dagger \alpha$  is satisfied.



and we refer to this as the *canonical* involution on an SLC-group. The additive commutator  $\alpha\beta - \beta\alpha$ , for  $\alpha, \beta \in RG$ , will be denoted by the Lie bracket  $[\alpha, \beta]$  and the multiplicative commutator  $g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$  of  $g,h\in G$  will be denoted by  $(g,h).$ 

**Remark 1.** If  $G$  is a group with the LC-property, then for all  $g\,\in\, G\,\,g^2\,=\,gg$  is central. Thus, since  $(g,h)\,=\,g^{-1}h^{-1}gh\,=\,$  $g^{-2}gh^{-1}gh^{-1}h^2=g^{-2}(gh^{-1})^2h^2$ , commutators are central in a  $LC$ -group  $G$ .

Now, suppose that  $RG$  is a normal ring and let  $N = ker(\sigma)$ . Then  $RN$  is also *normal*, and thus, by [FGLM10],  $N$  is an abelian group or  $N$  is an  $SLC$ -group with canonical involution.

> If R is a normal ring, then for all  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $t(r_1r_2) = t(r_2r_1)$ , since

- [CP11] J. H. Castillo Gómez, C. Polcino Milies, Lie properties of symmetric elements under oriented involutions. To appear in Commun. Algebra.
- [FGLM10] B. Felzenszwab, A. Giambruno, G. Leal, C. Polcino Milies, Group algebras whose elements are normal in regard to a group involution. Preprint.
- [GJP96] E. G. Goodaire, E. Jespers, and C. Polcino Milies, Alternative Loop Rings, North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 184, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1996.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity and let  $G$  be a group with a nontrivial homomorphism  $\sigma : G \to \{\pm 1\}$  and an involution  $∗ : G → G$ . If N denotes the kernel of  $σ$ , then N is a subgroup in G of  $[G : N] = 2$ . It is clear that the involution  $\dagger$  coincides on the subring  $RN$  with the ring involution  $*$ . Also, we have that the symmetric elements in  $G$ , under  $\dagger$ , are the symmetric elements in  $N$  under  $*$ . If we denote the set of symmetric elements in  $G$ , under  $*$ , by  $G^+$ , then we can write  $N^+ = N \cap G^+$ .

The groups  $G$  with the  $LC$ -property ("limited commutativity") were introduced by Goodaire and, have been described in Goodaire et al., [GJP96, Theorem III.3.3]. Moreover, by [GJP96, Proposition III.3.6] such groups are precisely those noncommutative groups with  $G/\zeta(G) \cong C_2 \times C_2$ , where  $C_2$  is the cyclic group of order  $2$ . Now, if G is  $SLC$ -group endowed with an involution  $*$ , then it has

Theorem 2. If  $f(x_1, x_1^*)$  $x_1^*,...,x_r,x_r^*$  $_{r}^{\ast})$  is a polynomial identity of degree  $d$  for the  $\mathbb F$ -algebra  $R$ , then  $R$  satisfies  $St_{2d}(x_1,x_2,...,x_{2d})^m$ for some  $m$ , the standard identity in  $2d$  variables. If  $R$  is semi-prime then  $m = 1$ .

### Some lemmas

We begin with some lemmas, which are the extended version of those established in [FGLM10].

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that RG is normal and let  $g, h \in G$ , then: (i) If  $\sigma(g)\sigma(h) = 1$ , then either  $gh = hg$  or  $gh = g^*h^*$ . (ii) If  $\sigma(g)\sigma(h) = -1$ , then either  $gh = hg$  or  $gh = (gh)^*$ .

- [Her76] I. N. Herstein, Rings with involution, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1976.
- [Hig40] G. Higman, The units of group rings, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), 46 (1940), 231-248.
- [Hol12] A. Holguín Villa, \*-Identidades em Algebras de Grupo (2012), Ph.D. thesis (in preparation), Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.
- [P77] D. S. Passman, The algebraic structure of group rings, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, (1977).
- [PS02] C. Polcino Milies, S. K. Sehgal, An introduction to group rings, Algebras and Applications, vol. 1, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002.

<sup>1</sup>The author's research (Ph.D. Student, IME-USP) has been partially supported by  $CCP$ , IME-USP, São Paulo, Brazil.

## Main results

only if one of the following conditions holds:

(i)  $G$  is abelian;

(ii)  $N = ker(\sigma)$  is abelian,  $[G : N] = 2$  and we have that  $x^* = x$ for  $x\in G\setminus N$ ,  $n^*=a^{-1}na$  for all  $n\in N$  and for all  $a\in G\setminus N;$ (iii) Both  $N$  and  $G$  are  $SLC$ -groups with canonical involution.

**Examples** (i) Let  $N = 2^n$  with  $n \ge 1$  and let G be the group given by  $G\>=\!< a,b:\,a^{2N}\>=\>b^2\>=\>1, ba\>=\>a^{N+1}b\>$  . Then,  $G/\zeta(G)=G/ \cong C_2 \times C_2$ . Thus, by [GJP96, Proposition  $III.3.6$  G is an SLC-group.

(ii) Let  $G$  be the group presented as follows

 $G = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 : x_i^4 = (x_i^2) \rangle$  $\hat{i}_i(x_j) = ((x_i, x_j), x_k) = 1; i \neq j \neq k$ .

Then,  $exp(G/\zeta(G)) = 2$  and  $g, h \notin \zeta(G)$  are such that  $(g,h)=1$ , if and only if they lie in the same coset of the  $\zeta(G)$ . Therefore,  $G$  has the LC-property, but  $G$  has three nonidentity commutators  $(x_1,x_2), (x_1,x_3)$  and  $(x_2,x_3)$ . Thus, the LC-property and the presence of a unique commutator  $1 \neq s$  in a group  $G$ , are independent conditions.

(iii) Let R be a ring with an involution  $*$  (in particular if  $\mathcal{R} = RG$ ) and, for  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ , define respectively (see [GJP96]) the trace and norm of  $r$  by

 $t(r) = r + r^*$  and  $n(r) = rr^*.$ 

## ). (2)

#### Acknowledgements

Some results if this Poster are part of the author's Ph.D. thesis [Hol12], to present to the Universidade de São Paulo (in preparation). The author thanks his advisor Professor César Polcino Milies who introduced him to this fascinating topic and for all of his guidance. He is also grateful to Professor Antonio Giambruno and to his colleague and great friend John H. Castillo Gómez for helpful suggestions.

## **References**